Solution of transient viscoelastic flow problems approximated by a VMS stabilized finite element formulation using time-dependent subrid-scales

Abstract

Recent studies indicate that classical residual-base stabilized methods for unsteady incompressible flows may experience difficulties when the time step is small in relation with the spatial grid size. The aim of this work is the design of finite element stabilized techniques based on the Variational Multiscale (VMS) method that allow to compute time-dependent viscoelastic flow problems with high elasticity and considering an anisotropic space-time discretization. Although the main advantage is achieve stable solutions for these discretizations, other benefits related with elastic problems are proved in this study.

Introduction

Classical residual-based stabilized methods for unsteady incompressible flows may experience **difficulties when the** time step is small relative to the spatial grid size.

- Bochev et al. [1] argue that $\delta t > Ch^2$ is a sufficient **condition** to avoid instabilities.
- For anisotropic space-time discretizations, this inequality **is** not necessarily satisfied: a very common issue in viscoelastic flow formulations argued by [2].

New stabilization techniques must be designed to compute time-dependent viscoelastic flow problems with high elasticity and anisotropic space-time discretization. The present work pursues to expand transient subgrid-scale methods to the viscoelastic flow problem, such as it is presented in [3] for the Navier-Stokes incompressible problem using a split term-byterm method.

The computation of viscoelastic flows leads to its own difficulties, when elasticity becomes dominant. Therefore, authors employ also the **log-conformation reformulation** using a stabilized formulation based on the VMS method to deal with these shortcomings [4].

Viscoelastic fluid flow problem

The governing equations for the viscoelastic flow problem in incompressible and isothermic conditions, are the conservation of momentum and mass and a constitutive equation:

Laura Moreno, Ramon Codina & Joan Baiges

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Centre Internacional de Mètodes Numèrics en Enginyeria

$$\rho \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + \rho \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{T} + \nabla p = \boldsymbol{f}, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0, \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u}$$

$$+\frac{\lambda}{2\eta_p} \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} - (\nabla \boldsymbol{u}^T) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad (3)$$

The domain considered Ω of \mathbb{R}^d (d=2 or 3) during the time interval $[0, t_{\rm f}]$. ρ is the constant density, p is the pressure field, \boldsymbol{u} is the velocity field, \boldsymbol{f} is the force field and $T = 2\eta_s \nabla^s \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the deviatoric stress tensor. Solvent viscosity is η_s and the polymeric viscosity by η_p , and λ is the relaxation time.

The logarithmic reformulation of the equations is derived basically from a change of variables, see complete development employed is extensively explained in [4].

Stabilization based on VMS

The stabilization method departs from the framework described in [5]. Let us suppose that $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}; \cdot)$ is the linear operator associated to the problem. Introducing the sub-grid scale decomposition and integrating by parts, the method leads to find $\boldsymbol{U}_h:]0, t_{\mathrm{f}} [\longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_h \text{ such that }]$

$$\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_h, \boldsymbol{V}_h) + B(\boldsymbol{u}_h; \boldsymbol{U}_h, \boldsymbol{V}_h) + \sum_{K} \langle \tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}, \mathcal{L}^*(\boldsymbol{u}_h; \boldsymbol{V}_h) \rangle_K = L(\boldsymbol{V}_h),$$
(4)

for all $V_h \in \mathcal{X}_h$, where B is the bilinear form of the problem and \mathcal{G} the temporal terms, $\mathcal{L}^*(\boldsymbol{u}_h; \boldsymbol{V}_h)$ is the formal adjoint of the operator $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}; \cdot)$ and \boldsymbol{U} is the sub-grid scale.

Once operators \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{L} are defined for both formulations, the sub-grid scales are now the solution of this equation:

$$\mathcal{D}_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}) + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{-1}\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}} = \tilde{P}[\boldsymbol{F} - \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{U}_h) - \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{u}_h; \boldsymbol{U}_h)], \quad (5)$$

where P is the L^2 projection onto the space of sub-grid scales, $\mathcal{D}_t(\mathbf{U})$ is defined as the temporal derivative of the sub-grid scale and α is taken as a diagonal matrix of stabilization parameters.

Apart from the purely **residual-based stabilization** (denoted by OSS), we propose a **term-by term stabilizatio**n motivated by the fact that not all the terms of some products provide stability, denoted by S-OSS.

The idea of this method is to replace the solution of (5) in (4)but keep only the terms of the form one operator term applied to the unknown by the same operator term applied to the test function, thus neglecting the products of different operators.

The flow over a cylinder problem is used to achieve several objectives: firstly, to **compare the various stabilization methods** proposed (dynamic and quasi-static formulations) in terms of stability when the time step is small, and when the Weissenberg number increases.

Table: Solved and failed cases We = 0.125, $\alpha_{1,\min} \approx 1.156 \times 10^{-3}$. The dynamic method is the most efficient

Table: Solved and failed cases for S-OSS formulations, dynamic and quasi-static, $\delta t = 0.1$. Dynamic formulations are more effective avoiding elastic instabilities.

The lid-driven cavity flow is a good example to illustrate the differences that can be generated by the viscoelastic contribution in the fluid, due to the elastic stresses dependence on the previous deformation history. In this case, we have solved it to prove that the **dynamic term-by-term formulation is** also efficient.

Numerical Results

Flow over a cylinder

P1 elements	Time step (δt)			
Method	0.050	0.0250	3.125×10^{-3}	1.562×10^{-3}
Static-OSS	Solved	Failed	_	_
Dyn-OSS	Solved	Solved	Solved	Solved
Static-SOSS	Solved	Solved	Solved	Failed
Dyn-SOSS	Solved	Solved	Solved	Solved

	Weissenberg (We)			
Formulation	0.125	0.165	0.25	0.5
Std-Static	Solved	Failed	_	-
Std-Dyn	Solved	Solved	Solved	Failed
Log-Static	Solved	Solved	Failed	-
Log-Dyn	Solved	Solved	Solved	Solved

Lid driven cavity

Stabilization S-OSS

Formulation	Quasi-static	Dynamic						
Standard	Failed - time step 265	Failed - time step 1316						
Logarithmic	Failed - time step 340	Solved						
Table: Comparison between different formulations,								
$Re = 0$, $We = 1.0$, $\delta t = 0.0025$. The time step at which								
convergence fails is indicated.								

1	
0.9	
0.8	
0.7	
0.6	
P 0.5	
0.4	
0.3	
0.2	
0.1	
0	2

- problems



Figure: Results at time t = 8, for We = 1. ψ profiles along the lines x = 1/2 and y = 3/4.

Conclusions

• **Dynamic sub-scales** allow to solve problems where two different sources of instability can appear simultaneously: one originated by a time step small and the other the exponential growth typical of high Weissenberg numbers. • The resulting method allows to obtain **globally stable** solutions, validated in different benchmarks.

• It shows **accuracy**, optimal convergence for smooth solutions and robustness.

• Results are remarkable due to the **Weissenberg number reached** with the dynamic formulation, apart from evident benefits in anisotropic space-time discretizations when the time step is small.

• Combination logarithmic formulation and dynamic subscales in term-by-term stabilization is capable of solving problems with **higher elasticity** than other options.

References

[1] P. B. Bochev, M. D. Gunzburger, and J. N. Shadid. On inf-sup stabilized finite element methods for transient problems. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 193(15-16):1471–1489, 2004. [2] R. Codina, J. Principe, O. Guasch, and S. Badia. Time dependent subscales in the stabilized finite element approximation of incompressible flow Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 196(21-24):2413–2430, 2007. [3] E. Castillo and R. Codina. Dynamic term-by-term stabilized finite element formulation using orthogonal subgrid-scales for the incompressible navier-stokes problem. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 349:701–721, 2019. [4] L. Moreno, R. Codina, J. Baiges, and E. Castillo.

Logarithmic conformation reformulation in viscoelastic flow problems approximated by a vms-type stabilized finite element formulation.

Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 354:706–731, 2019.

[5] T.J.R. Hughes, G. R. Feijóo, L. Mazzei, and J. Quincy.

The variational multiscale method. A paradigm for computational mechanics. Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 166(1-2):3–24, 1998.